# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Apex Juris, pllc	CONFIRMATION NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.
Apex Juris, pllc  13194 Edgewater Lane Northeast  Seattle, WA 98125  ART UNIT	5024	003-03-017	Chang-Ming Yang	07/21/2003	10/623,450
13194 Edgewater Lane Northeast Seattle, WA 98125  ART UNIT		EXAMI			
ARTUNIT	LIEU, JULIE BICHNGOC		13194 Edgewater Lane Northeast		
	PAPER NUMBER	ART UNIT			
		2612	•		
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	MAIL DATE			
05/31/2007	PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		I				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/623,450	YANG, CHANG-MING				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julie Lieu	2612 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 ∧</u>	lovember 2006.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive	on No				
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	• .					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,450

Art Unit: 2612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment file March 16, 2007. Claims 1-7, 10-12, 15-16, 18, 19, and 23-26 have been amended.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-26 are objected to because the amendment to these claims is improperly made.

For instance:

In claim 1, currently amended claim, "touching against" is added but not properly underlined while "touches" previously presented disappeared instead of being stroked through. Line 7, "relay" from previously presented claim is deleted; it should be stroked through instead of being made disappeared. Added text "would put through" is not properly underline. The phrase "which could be an airtight chamber" is not properly underlined to indicated newly added text.

Similar problems arise in amended claims 2-7, 15, and 17, wherein added text not properly underlined and text deleted from previously presented claims not being stroked through but simply disappeared.

In claim 15, line 2, "for gas injection" in the previous presented claim not properly stroked through as deleted text.

In claim 18, line 8, "for the convenience of" is not properly underlined as newly added text. Line 10, "said combined metal or magnetic material with non-metal material from" in previously presented claim is deleted but not being shown as stroked through. Line 12, "outside of said electromagnetic wave-proof device, and" and "detected outside of said electromagnetic wave-proof device is then placed inside said electromagnetic wave-proof device to isolate said metal or magnetic material from said sensor's further detection to prevent signal error." previously presented is deleted but not being shown as stroked through, "placed inside said electromagnetic wave-proof device to isolate the sensor's detection" is not properly underline to show newly added text. Also, claim 18 must end with a period.

In claim 26, "could be" and the phrase "varying with respect to the distance between the article of metal or magnetic and the sensor so" are not properly underlined.

Corrections are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 11 is again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,450 Page 4

Art Unit: 2612

The specification fails to disclose that the sensor can sense the metal or magnetic material by the material touching the sensor, which is the CCD image device. Further, it also fails to disclose how an image of an object can indicate that the object is of metal of magnetic material. In addition, the specification fails to disclose how a capacitance sensor senses the "sharpness" of the metal or magnetic material.

5. Claims 11 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim claims 1 and 26 "could be" is indefinite.

In claims 2, 5, 15, it appears that "an airtight chamber" should be recited as "said airtight chamber" to follow its antecedent basis.

In claim 10, line 7, "a control panel" should be recited as "said control panel" to follow the rule of antecedent basis, unless another (second) control panel is meant to be claimed.

In claim 11, it is not clear how the metal or magnetic material can be sensed by it touching the sensor, which is the CCD image device?

In claim 26, "can be" is indefinite.

Applicant's Arguments

Art Unit: 2612

6. Applicant has presented the following argument:

Argument 1:

The CCD sensor is used to detect the shape of article made of metal or magnetic, instead of detecting the material that is used to fabricate such article. The image detected by the CCD sensor will be compared with database and once the pre\-determined shape is found a signal will be sent.

The capacitance sensor in the present invention, also according to the reference patent given, is used to determine the distance between the article made of metal or magnetic and the capacitance sensor with respect to the varying values read by the capacitance sensor, then further can be used to determine the location of the article made of metal or magnetic.

All the rest of the corrections in the specification should be sufficient to overcome the Examiner's rejection on these points

Applicant's Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Response to argument 1:

The 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection is now addressed to claim 11 instead of claim 10, wherein the claim 11 recites that the sensor is a CCD image device. The specification fails to

Application/Control Number: 10/623,450 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

disclose how CCD image device detects the metal or magnetic article by touching against the article AS CLAIMED in claim 10; therefore the rejection still applies.

For reasons stated above, the rejection is maintained.

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 571-272-2978. The examiner can normally be reached on MaxiFlex.

Art Unit: 2612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julie Lieu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612

Melm